



Snake River Salmon Recovery Board

Meeting Minutes November 23, 2004

Board Members Present: Don Scheibe, Dick Isaac, Jay Holzmiller, Dick Jones, Eric Myers, Gary James, Butch Klaveano, Del Groat, Gary Cole, Emmit Taylor, Yancey Reser, Stuart Durfee, Ed Schultz, Scott Althouse

Board Members Present By Phone: Cathy LaRoque

Others: Doug Mattoon (Asotin), Bradley Johnson (ACCD), Megan Stewart, Terry Bruegman (CCD), Steve Martin, Juli Post

Steve verified the quorum, 14 members present at the time the quorum was reviewed.

Welcome

Jay Holzmiller opened the meeting by welcoming the Board members and others present.

Action Items

1. Approval of the October 26, 2004 meeting minutes

Steve introduced the meeting minutes from October 26th and gave board members time to review. Steve asked for any questions or comments on the October 26th 2004 meeting minutes. No questions or comments were raised.

Action 1: Steve asked for a motion to approve the October 26, 2004 board meeting minutes. Dick Jones made a motion and Butch Klaveano seconded. The board approved the motion by consensus.

It was suggested to rework tonight's agenda. So after the approval of the meeting minutes we will go directly into Dave Mastin's presentation of the Legislature and Governor's view of Salmon Recovery and Watershed activities in the State of Washington.

Discussion

Analyses of the Governor's bill and a review of our regional legislation, Dave Mastin presenting a power point of the planning process surrounding Salmon Recovery and Watershed issues. Dave also gave analyses of how we may want to approach the information that he is providing in regards to feedback to legislator and the level of input we will need to provide. Dave's handout consisted of proposed draft legislation, a proposed Governor advisor's draft legislation and to short power point describing the process and the analyses of the legislation.

1. **Discussion and approval of the October 27 inter local agreement with an understanding that the concept of any single member in attendance can cast three votes if his/her colleagues are absent.**
2. **Approval of further discussion of the inter local agreement with respect to the voting requirement and whether or not the Board seeks to prioritize and administer federal funds.**

The discussion started with Scott Althouse from the Nez Perce and Gary James from the CTUIR announcing that the Nez Perce had signed the Inter Local as prepared on October 27, 2004 and that CTUIR had moved this same Interlocal through the first step in their approval process and would be forwarding to the next level in the approval process. Gary James perception was that it would also have a very good chance of receiving signatures.

Several county commissioners wanted to revisit the 80% voting requirement and the silence on BPA. They reported that when they returned to their respective commissioners that there was concern that the 80% voting requirement would cause a stalemate for many of the actions that the board needs to make decisions on. Discussion ensued for a long period of time, it was finally decided that the actions listed above were not to be voted on as they were voted on last month. The action was for Steve to go to each of the county commissioners and continue discussions with them on these issues.

Action 2: Steve asked for a motion that he would pursue further discussion with each of the county commissioners to resolve concerns on the 80% vote requirement and the silence on BPA funding. Butch Klaveano made a motion to approve Steve spending time to further discuss the revised inter-local agreement with each Board of County Commissioners and Don Scheibe seconded. The motion passed with consensus.


2. Approval of organizational structure and budget for 2005-2007

Handout of the revised 2005-2007 budget was reviewed by the board. Assumes that we have a recovery organization, this is the vision, last months budget was too heavy with staff. Steve scaled it back as at this point we don't have complete watershed plans. Gary James asked for clarification last month on job tasks. Steve supplied Gary with a very short assessment of each positions duties. Everything in the chart was scaled back.

Action 3: Steve asked for a motion to approve the proposed structure and budget as a "draft". Don Scheibe made a motion and Eric Myers seconded. The board approved the motion by consensus.

3. Discussion and approval of an approach to acquire a regional technical team facilitator/coordinator.

Steve explained that we had roughly \$50,000 available dollars to put towards hiring someone to work on a ten hour a week basis to manage the Regional Technical Team. Steve suggestions set a monetary amount and a set amount of time. One of the questions, what skills would this person need to have, it was suggested to hire someone of NOAA fisheries. Discussion then to raise the budget for Juli Post to provide more support for Steve Martin so he can administer the Regional Technical Team. Steve and Juli are to provide an approach for administering the RTT and coordinating its functions.

 Come up with to pursue an approach to use Juli to free up more of Steve's time. Juli and Steve will report back to the board as to the cost to implement this as well as an approach for the RTT "coordination".

Action 4: Steve asked for a motion to pursue an approach to use Juli more to free up some of Steve's time and to develop an approach for coordinating the RTT. . Ed Schultz made a motion and Dick Jones seconded. The board approved the motion by consensus.

4. Discussion and Approval of development of an RFP for an economic assessment of implementing the recovery plan.

Steve explained that we are being asked to do an economic assessment of the cost of implementing the recovery plan. Steve explained the various entities that are available to do this assessment. Yancey prefers spending our money in Washington. That in the development of this RFP we shoot for March 2005 to get the results. Fix scoping tasks, rural development, agriculture, look at the Yakama's draft. Gary James asked if this economic analysis would be part of the plan. Gary thought that someone must be doing this, that we should check into this. Check an inventory of ongoing processes.

Action 5: Steve asked for a motion to develop an RFP for the economic assessment. Ed Schultz made a motion and Stuart Durfee seconded. The board approved the motion by consensus.

5. Revising the Recovery Plan development budget to reflect expenditures in excess of target budget for 2004; advancing currently budgeted 2005 monies forward to cover November and December 2004. This is not a budget increase.

Steve explained that due to how we were asked to break down the budget between 2004 and 2005 we need to advance budget to cover Parametrix bills. The question was asked whether they were over budget and Steve explained no but that the timing of product versus what we calculated in our budget were different.

Action 6: Steve asked for a motion revise the budget line item for Parametrix. Cathy LaRoque made a motion and **** seconded. The board approved the motion by consensus.

8. Cancellation of December 28th Board meeting

Steve suggested canceling the December 28th board meeting.

Action 7: Cancelled

9. Approving Special Meeting to be held on December 14th at 6pm by conference call.

Steve introduced the suggestion to have the meeting on the 14th. This meeting will be conducted by conference call. Action: comments received on the Cooper Legislation, response to the Bob Nichols watershed enhancement and Inter Local status.

Action 8: A motion that we have a special meeting on the 14th of December.

Discussion Items

Restructured the process for building the recovery plan

Reworking the process for building the recovery plan, the whole document will be prepared by the March 1st timeframe and go out for public review then. Board will be provided 30 to 60 days to review.

Review of Tasks

Task Title

Come up with to pursue an approach to use Juli to free up more of Steve's time. Juli and Steve will report back to the board as to the cost to implement this..

Review of Actions

Action Title	Motion	Second	Consensus	Vote	Pass
1. Meeting Minutes	Dick Jones	Butch Klaveano	Yes		
2. Pursue a discussion with County Commissioner on Interlocal	Butch Klaveano	Don Scheibe	Yes		
3. Motion to approve proposed draft structure and budget	Don Scheibe	Eric Myers	Yes		
4. Pursue an approach to use Juli more to free up time for Steve to do technical issues	Ed Schultz	Dick Jones	Yes		
5. Develop an RFP for the economic assessment.	Ed Schultz	Stuart Durfee	Yes		
6. Revise budget line item for Recovery Plan	Cathy LaRoque	*****	Yes		
7. Cancellation of Dec 28 th Meeting			Cancelled		
8. Motion to have special meeting Dec 14 th	*****	*****	Yes		

Name	Attendance for 2004											
	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
Don Scheibe		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Dick Isaac	New Board Member Starting May				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Jay Holzmiller		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	
Dick Ducharme			Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		
Dick Jones		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Eric Myers		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Gary James			Yes				Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
Butch Klaveano		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	
Del Groat			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Gary Cole	New Board Member Starting September 2004								Yes	Yes	Yes	
Emmit Taylor		Yes	Yes							Yes	Yes	
Cathy LaRoque		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Yancey Reser		Yes	Yes			Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Stuart Durfee		Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	
Les Wigen			Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes		Yes		
Ed Schultz				Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	